ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA556194 08/26/2013

Filing date:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91197518
Party	Plaintiff Athletics Investment Group LLC d/b/a The Oakland Athletics Baseball Company
Correspondence Address	SCOTT P CERESIA COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN 1133 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-6799 UNITED STATES jkt@cll.com, trademark@cll.com, rar@cll.com, spc@cll.com,jmn@cll.com
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Settlement Discussions
Filer's Name	Scott P. Ceresia
Filer's e-mail	SPC@CLL.COM, jmn@cll.com, trademark@cll.com
Signature	/Scott P. Ceresia/
Date	08/26/2013
Attachments	MATHLETICS (Opp. No. 91197518) - Motion to Suspend (Aug. 26, 2013).pdf(12956 bytes )

Ref. No. 21307.034 TRADEMARK

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

## MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS PENDING DECISION ON THE CONSOLIDATION MOTION AND TO CONFORM DATES PURSUANT TO THAT MOTION

Opposer, by and through counsel, hereby moves for an order suspending the proceedings in this matter pending decision on the consolidation motion and conforming the dates in this matter pursuant to that motion. On June 5, 2013, Opposer, with the consent of Applicant, filed a motion to consolidate this Opposition with related Opposition No. 91206079, which remains pending before the Board. In the motion to consolidate, the parties requested that the schedule for the opposition proceedings be conformed by adopting the dates as set in the most recently instituted of the cases being consolidated, i.e., the schedule set for Opposition No. 91206079. The parties respectfully request that the instant motion to suspend be granted until the Board's disposition of the motion to consolidate. Applicant's counsel consented to this motion, which is requested to allow the parties to continue to engage in settlement discussions.

The parties submit that significant progress has been made since the last suspension and that good cause can be shown for an additional suspension. Since the last suspension, on April 15, 2013, the revised draft agreement was sent to Opposer's outside counsel with additional comments from Opposer's in-house counsel, to be revised accordingly. That same day, Opposer's outside counsel sent the further revised agreement back to Opposer's in-house counsel for review. Thereafter, Opposer's in-house counsel sent the revised agreement to Opposer for its review. Upon receiving Opposer's comments to the proposed agreement, on April 22, 2013, the most updated revised draft agreement was sent to Opposer's outside counsel with additional comments from Opposer's in-house counsel, to be revised accordingly. After receiving and reviewing the revisions, on April 23, 2013, Opposer's outside counsel sent the revised draft agreement to Applicant's counsel for consideration. Applicant's counsel and Applicant thereafter discussed the revised agreement. On July 26, 2013, Applicant's counsel sent Opposer's outside counsel a revised agreement with Applicant's proposed revisions. Opposer's outside counsel and Applicant's counsel had a telephone conference on August 14, 2013 to discuss those proposed revisions. That discussion narrowed the issues between the parties considerably. On August 15, 2013, Opposer's outside counsel sent a further revised agreement to Opposer's in-house counsel for review. The additional time is requested to allow Opposer's in-house counsel the time to review and submit comments on the revised settlement agreement, to further negotiate the few remaining points in the settlement, and for the parties to commit to their agreed-upon terms in a redrafted agreement which, when finalized, would allow them to resolve this matter without the need to proceed with the Opposition.

The parties note that they are jointly committed to reaching an amicable resolution, have made significant progress, and strongly believe that they will timely resolve any remaining

issues. For the foregoing reasons, Opposer, with Applicant's consent, respectfully requests that the Board grant the instant motion to suspend and that the deadlines in this proceeding be conformed with those in Opposition No. 91206079 once the consolidation motion is acted upon.

Dated: New York, New York August 26, 2013

COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C. Attorneys for Opposer

By: /Scott P. Ceresia/
Mary L. Kevlin
Richard S. Mandel
Scott P. Ceresia
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
(212)790-9200

3

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, on August 26, 2013, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS PENDING DECISION ON THE CONSOLIDATION MOTION AND TO CONFORM DATES PURSUANT TO THAT MOTION to be sent via First Class Mail, postage prepaid, to Applicant's attorney of record and correspondent, Barry F. Soalt, Esq., Procopio Cory Hargreaves & Savitch LLP, 525 B Street, Suite 2200, San Diego, CA 92101.

/Scott P. Ceresia/
Scott P. Ceresia